

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/712,828 | 11/12/2003 | Joseph P. Bigus | YOR920030510US1 | 8826 | |
| Moser, Patterso | 7590 05/20/200 n & Sheridan | EXAMINER | | | |
| Suite 100 | | CHEN, QING | | | |
| 595 Shrewsbury Avenue Shrewsbury, NJ 07702 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| • | | | 2191 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/20/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 10/712,828 | BIGUS, JOSEPH P. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Qing Chen | 2191 | |

| | Ging Chen | 2101 |
|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>13 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data | of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two months of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further coi (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially red | . , , , |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ected claims. |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | , | maliant Amondment (PTOL 324) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | impliant Amendment (FTOL-324). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al | | timely filed amendment canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the control of | | ll be entered and an explanation of |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-9,11-13 and 32</u> . | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attached. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application ir | n condition for allowance because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | |
| /Wei Y Zhen/ | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2191 | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendments to Claims 1 and 32 raise new issues that would require further consideration of the applied prior art and/or additional search in order to fairly determine the patentability of the proposed claims.